```
Page 1
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
 2
 3
     LISA BROWN, M.D.,
                Plaintiff
                                        Civil Action Mo. 05-32-E
          ν.
 5
     HAMOT MEDICAL CENTER,
 6
               Defendant
 7
 8
               Deposition of J. DAVID ALBERT, II, M.D., taken
 9
10
          before and by Janis L. Ferguson, Notary Public in
          and for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, on Friday,
11
          December 16, 2005, commencing at 2:28 p.m., at the
12
          offices of Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett, PC,
13
14
          120 West 10th Street, Erie, Pennsylvania 16501.
15
     For the Plaintiff:
16
          Patrick Sorek, Esquire
          Leech Tishman Fuscaldo & Lampl, LLC
17
          525 William Penn Place
          30th Floor
18
          Pittsburgh, PA 15219
19
     For the Defendant:
20
          Kerry M. Richard, Esquire
          Tobin O'Connor Ewing & Richard
21
          5335 Wisconsin Avenue NW
          Suite 700
          Washington, DC 20015
22
23
                                                                   EXHIBIT
24
                  Reported by Janis L. Ferguson, RPR
25
                 Ferguson & Holdnack Reporting, Inc.
                                                                     12
```

Case 1:05-cv-00032-MBC Document 56-13 Filed 09/15/2006 Page 2 of 3

Page 22 Page 24 Q. And the -- it looks like they are all physicians 1 O. Was he directed to do that? underneath. But --2 A. Yes. 2 A. Um-hum. 3 Q. By whom? 3 Q. -- could you match the name with the office so A. By me. that we can understand --Q. Apart from the grievance resolution and due 6 A. I believe so. process procedure, can you state what procedures the Q. - who is who in terms of which person holds which committee followed. office. A. Can you clarify. You mear --8 A. I'm going to start from the bottom up, because O. Sure. 9 that's probably the easiest. Dr. Craig Lippe was the 10 A. — written procedures? 10 11 resident that Dr. Brown chose to have on the committee. 11 Q. I'd like to find out the scope of all of the rules or process that the committee followed. For example, there 12 A. And let's see where we are here. The osteopathic 13 may have been a process on who they would talk to. There 13 DME would be Dr. Kruszewski. The person from the medical 14 14 may have been a process on what documents they would look education committee was Dr. Dulabon. The vice president for at. There may have been a process about, you know, which medical education was probably Dr. Long. I'm trying to find witnesses or what representatives would be able to talk. 16 out where the general -- the resident -- probably the There may have been a process at out how long people would be 17 representative of the residency program must have been Dr. able to talk. 18 19 A. Okav. Stefanovski. 19 20 Q. Was that person supposed to be a resident or not? 20 Q. Can you tell me, did the or nmittee discuss any A. No, I believe that was a physician that's in 21 issues like that? 21 the -- probably the faculty member of the residency program. 22 A. Yes, we did. 22 The resident would be the resident selected. 23 23 And what was -- what did the committee discuss? 24 Q. By the aggrieved. 24 A. We talked about how to get the most Information so 25 A. Right. 25 that we could make an appropriate decision. We initially Page 23 Page 25 Q. I take it that the committee followed certain talked to the two prime parties, which would be Dr. Lubahn, 2 procedures in carrying out its duties. Is that correct? who made the initial decision, and Dr. Brown, who filed the 3 A. Yes. 3 grievance. Q. How did the committee decide what procedures to Q. And that was the March 30th meeting, correct? 4 5 follow? A. Yes. In the process of conducting this, I think 6 We first looked at any suggestion from any there was a very strong feeling within the committee that we 7 documentation from the medical center as to what the wanted to do everything to make sure that we came up with 8 committee was supposed to do. the appropriate decision. This, after all, would likely 9 Q. Let me stop you there. significantly affect Dr. Brown's ability to continue in an 10 A. Um-hum. orthopedic residency. And for that reason, we probably went 11 Q. Do you remember what you looked at? beyond what was prescribed in the normal review process. 12 And, in fact, we agreed that we wanted to bring everybody in A. If it's anything more than this document, I'm not 13 certain. that had information. We wanted to talk to all of the 14 Q. Okay. When you say "this", you mean Pepicello No. 14 orthopedic faculty, to the residents, see all the 15 4? documentation that we could regarding performance by the 16 resident, what accommodations had been made, how that was, A. Pepicello No. 4. We looked at that. If there 17 were any other appropriate documents - and, again, I don't you know, followed, if there were recommendations given to 18 know that there were. But if there were, we would have her. And, really, from the ground up, document everything 19 reviewed those. And then we generally discussed the that had happened to her during her training to that point. 20 appropriate way to conduct that review within the confines Because we felt that without doing that, we might feel 21 of those requirements. 21 uncomfortable later on, and we wanted to be able to look at Q. Did the committee keep any records? 22 72 this and feel comfortable. 23 A. We kept minutes of the meetings. 23 And even though it said that it was going to be a 24 Q. Who kept the minutes? majority vote, we all felt strongly that it really needed to

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

A. Mr. Inderlied did them.

25

be a unanimous vote. Unless we all felt that that was the

Case 1:05-cv-00032-MBC Document 56-13 Filed 09/15/20/06

Page 26 Page 28 appropriate decision, we would feel uncomfortable holding 1 that wish to the committee as a whole. We said we want to it. And that's really why we went through a very long see that. Exactly who was responsible for getting the process and interviewed as many people as we did and information together for us, I don't recall. Probably reviewed as much information as we were aware existed. whoever was acting as our secretary at the time. 5 Q. When you said -- I think you said a moment ago you 5 Q. Who was that? wanted to document. Did you say -- you wanted to document 6 6 A. Probably Mr. Inderlied. And he was our recording everything. Were you referring to the committee's work, you secretary, and I suspect that ${\it me}$ just asked him to make sure 7 were documenting? 8 that we had that documentation. A. I'm not exactly sure if I meant document that. 9 Q. Do you, in fact, know that the other members of 10 But we wanted to see the documentation. We weren't going to the committee reviewed that documentation, or is that just 10 11 document. We wanted to see all the documentation, so that 11 something you believe? we could consider that, when we deliberated. 12 A. My recollection is that we had it at the meeting Q. What standard of decision did the committee use to 13 13 and that everyone looked at it. reach its conclusion? And by that, I mean in our business 14 14 Q. Did you have multiple copies or one copy, one set? 15 we have -- we have terms like substantial evidence or 15 I'm not sure, but I believe everybody had copies. 16 preponderance of the evidence. Is there a description of 16 Q. Do you know what happened to those copies? the - the standard or rule that the committee was 17 A. No one took them with them, because of the 17 18 considering when it took its vote? confidential nature of it. And $\ensuremath{\mathbb{I}}$ think they were returned 18 19 A. As I said, I -- we felt that it had to be a 19 to whoever provided them for us initially for the meeting. unanimous decision, and I think that meant that each and 20 Q. Was there a time when everybody was in one room 20 every one of us had no doubts left after the review process; 21 with the copies of these -that that was the appropriate decision. I guess that would 22 A. Yes. lend itself toward your description of preponderance of 23 Q. -- brown documents? \Vas that at the March 30th 24 evidence. There is no higher standard. 24 meeting, or some other time? Q. Was that standard discussed, or was that just kind 25 I believe that was at the first meeting, yes. Page 27 Page 29 1 of a feeling? Q. Now, you talked about Dr. Lubahn and Dr. Brown 1 2 A. I think that was discussed. coming in and — I'll use the word testifying. But talking Q. And agreed on? to the committee. 3 A. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So is it fair to say that the committee agreed -5 Q. Did the committee review the records before they that any decision it would make -- or let me rephrase that. talked to Lubahn and Brown or while they were talking to 6 Unless the committee unanimously agreed that it had no them or afterward, or how did that work? doubts about the propriety of Dr. Lubahn's decision, his I believe we looked at the records before we decision would not be upheld? Is that fair? talked to either one of them for a few minutes, just to get 10 A. That's fair, 10 a feel for what we would hear from them and what questions 11 Q. What documentation did you review as the chair of 11 we would like to ask. 12 the committee? 12 Q. Did you have any of the documents at the 13 A. I really reviewed exactly the same documentation 13 subsequent meetings; at the April 6th or April 8th meetings? 14 as everybody else on the committee. What we asked for were 14 A. I believe they were available for the second 15 any evaluations that had been given to Dr. Brown. We asked meeting, but I do not believe we had them for the third 16 for any scores of any exams that she may have taken as part 16 meeting. 17 of the program. I think that's probably everything that I 17 Q. And when you say "available", were they sitting in 18 can clearly remember in documentation, because I think a corner somewhere? Were they passed -- did committee 18 that's all they had from the program. They don't actually 19 members have them in front of them? 19 20 take, you know, normal exams day to day in the orthopedic 20 A. I believe they were laying on the table between 21 program, as they would in my program, so we really didn't 21 us, but they were not handed out in individual packets. 22 have that to look at. Q. You testified a few minutes earlier about a desire 22 23 Q. Who -- who did you ask to provide this to go beyond the ascribed normal review process. Do you 23

24

25

Page 3 of 3

A. I don't know that I -- I mean, I knew -- I made

24 information?

25

remember saying something like that?

A. Something like that, yes.